NUMERICAL PROTECTION
Some Carte
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN	
AIRS ID#: 1050350 DATE: <u>071508</u> FACILITY NAME: FOUR CORNERS READY MIX A FACILITY LOCATION: 4040 Sand Mine Road DAVENPORT 33897 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGU CONTACT NAME: Mike Johnson ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/10/2007 / 3/10/2012 (effective date) (end date)	URD BO PH PH	DEPART: <u>1153</u> IONE: (407)513-8587 IONE:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE         MINOR Non-COMP         PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM	PLIANCE SIGNIF	FICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible et 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust colle at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loa unless such rate is unachievable in practice?</li> <li>Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) op to this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch duration?</li> </ol> </li> <li>If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation the silo dust collector, are the visible emission conducted while batching at a rate that is represented by the state of the sta</li></ul>	a), and other enclosed stora missions to 5 percent opace ector exhaust points was the ading rate, or at least at the eration controlled by the st tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. the visible emissions test ning rate representative of ation are controlled by a d ns tests of the weigh hopp	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)  Yes Yes No</li> </ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<b>Existing Facilities</b> – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check $\mathbf{M}$ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i> )
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a</i> ), <i>thru 2.d</i> ), <i>below</i> .)	ing
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes ] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes ] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes ] No

## 

notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or

local program office?----- [Yes ] No

Natrevia Gradney

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

07/15/2008

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Mike Johnson, Plant Operations Manager, explained that operations were not running during the time of inspection. He also explained that the facility is not a concrete batch plant but a block plant. No stock piles at the facility because they are mainly a block plant.

The only piles that were noted at the facility were the piles of broken block piles that appear to be very dry and they were kept in a bin on the northwest side of the facility.

Grounds were clean, no visible emissions observed.